Case 1:19-cv-01506-CCC Document 1-1 Filed 08/30/19 Page 1 of 1

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS					
CARL RISOLDI				PENNSYLVANIA TURNPIKE COMMISSION					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) David M. Koller, Esquire, Koller Law LLC, 2043 Locust Street, Suit Philadelphia, PA 19103, 215-545-8917				Attorneys (If Known)					
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)				CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff					
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			For Diversity Cases Only) PTF DEF n of This State D D I I I I I I I I					
2 U.S. Government Defendant				Citizen of Another State 2 2 Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State					
				tizen or Subject of a 3 3 Foreign Nation 6 6 6					
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Description									
CONFRACT 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lense & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplene 315 Airplene Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 70 Motor Vehicle 10 Motor Vehicle 11 Motor Vehicle 12 State Motor Vehicle 13 Motor Vehicle 13 Motor Vehicle 14 Motor Vehicle 15 Motor Vehicle 16 Motor Vehicle 17 Motor Vehicle 18 Motor Vehicle 19 Motor Vehicle 10 Motor Vehicle 11 Motor Vehicle 12 Motor Vehicle 13 Motor Vehicle 14 Motor Vehicle 14 Motor Vehicle 15 Motor Vehicle 16 Motor Vehicle 17 Motor Vehicle 17 Motor Vehicle 18 Motor Vehicle 18 Motor Vehicle 19 Motor Vehicle 19 Motor Vehicle 19 Motor Vehicle 10 Mo		7	DREFFURE/PENALTY 5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Management Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act 0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	422 Appe 423 With 28 U 28 U 820 Copy 830 Paten 840 Time 840 Time 862 Black 863 DIW 864 SSID 865 RSI (870 Toxes or Dr. 871 RS-26 U 871 RS-26 U	al 28 USC 158 drawal SC 157 ETY RIGHTS rights it tc Abbreviated Drug Application mark SECURITY (1395ff) (Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI 405(g)) MATAXSHTS (U.S. Plaintiff efendant)	☐ 375 False Claims Act ☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC ☐ 3729(a)) ☐ 400 State Renpportion ☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Bankin ☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influent ☐ Corrupt Organizati ☐ 480 Consumer Credit ☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 830 Securities/Commo ☐ Exchange ☐ 390 Other Statutory Act ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts ☐ 893 Environmental Mat ☐ 895 Freedom of Inform ☐ Act ☐ 896 Arbitration ☐ 899 Administrative Pre ☐ Act/Review or App ☐ Agency Decision ☐ 950 Constitutionality of ☐ State Statutes	ged and dities/ etions there and to be continued to be continu	
	moved from 3 :	Appellate Court				☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer		m -	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Right to Due Proc	ess							
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	Di	EMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: X Yes No							
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER	<u> </u>		
DATE 08/30/2019 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEY	OF RECORD Dar	rd	M.K	relen es	-tonner	
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG, JUD	OGE		

KOLLER LAW LLC

David M. Koller, Esquire (90119) Sarah R. Lavelle, Esquire (93383) 2043 Locust Street, Suite 1B Philadelphia, PA 19103 (215)545-8917 (215) 575-0826 (fax) davidk@kollerlawfirm.com slavelle@kollerlawfirm.com

Counsel for Plaintiff

Complaint and Jury Demand

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CARL RISOLDI : 5781 STONEY HILL ROAD : NEW HOPE, PA 18938 :

:

Plaintiff, : Civil Action No.

:

v. : Hon.

:

PENNSYLVANIA TURNPIKE COMMISSION:

P.O. Box 67676 :

HARRISBURG, PA 17106-7676

:

Defendant.

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Carl Risoldi, by and through his attorney, Koller Law LLC hereby files this Complaint against Defendant Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission (hereinafter "Defendant") alleging violations pf Procedural Due Process and avers as follows:

THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff incorporates the preceding introductory paragraph as if set forth more fully at length herein.
- 2. Plaintiff, Carl Risoldi (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), is an adult individual who is domiciled in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania at the address listed above.

- 3. Defendant, Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission employed Plaintiff at the address listed above.
- 4. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant employed manager, supervisors, agents, and employees who Plaintiff alleges had the authority to make decisions concerning Plaintiff's employment. In making said decisions, these individuals engaged in a pattern and practice of discriminatory treatment, which forms the basis of Plaintiff's allegations in the instant Complaint.
- 5. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant employed managers, supervisors, agents, and employees who acted directly or indirectly in the interest of the employer. In so acting, these individuals engaged in the pattern and practice of discriminatory treatment, which forms the basis of Plaintiff's allegations in the instant Complaint.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. Plaintiff incorporates the preceding paragraphs as if set forth more fully at length herein.
- 7. Subject-Matter Jurisdiction is conferred upon this Honorable Court by 28 U.S.C. § 1337 relating to "any civil action or proceeding arising out of any act of Congress regulating commerce," 28 U.S.C. § 1343(4), and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 8. This Court may properly maintain Personal Jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant's contacts with this state and this judicial district are sufficient for the exercise of jurisdiction over Defendant to comply with the traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice, thus satisfying the standard set forth by the United States Supreme Court in International Shoe Co. v. Washington, 326 U.S. 310 (1945) and its progeny.

MATERIAL FACTS

9. Carl Risoldi ("Plaintiff") is an adult individual who resides at 5781 Stoney Hill Road in New Hope, PA 18938.

- 10. He worked as a Public Relations and Marketing Specialist for the Defendant Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission ("Defendant" or "Commission") from 2005 to December 8, 2017.
- 11. On January 23, 2015, Plaintiff was suspended without pay or benefits from his position as the Public Relations and Marketing Specialist at the Commission. See Exhibit A.
- 12. The suspension was effective immediately and taken because of an indictment by the Thirty-Fifth Statewide Investigating Grand Jury alleging criminal activity.
- 13. Defendant advised, in a letter dated January 23, 2015, that if the activity was proven, it would be a violation of Policy Letter 3.10, Code of Conduct, XI Job Performance of Employees 11.8, stating that employees are to refrain from engaging in conduct that would reflect unfavorably on or discredit the Commission.
- 14. In that same letter, Defendant told Plaintiff that during the period of suspension, he should not be permitted on Commission property and is not permitted to contact any other employees of the Commission without Commission's consent.
- 15. The letter also stated that "[a]fter the completion of the criminal proceeding against [Plaintiff], a final decision will be made regarding [Plaintiff's employment] with the Commission."
- 16. In a letter dated January 30, 2015, The Commission's Manager of Compensation and Benefits wrote to Plaintiff to notify him that his health benefits would be canceled January 31, 2015 and addressing his options under COBRA and his accrued vacation and sick time. The letter also instructed him to return all Commission property to his supervisor. See Exhibit B.
- 17. Plaintiff returned his identification badge and gate key on January 29, 2015. See Exhibit C.
 - 18. Plaintiff's criminal matter is still pending. See Exhibit D.

19. On December 12, 2017, Plaintiff received a letter from Sheri Norris, the Director of Human Resources, advising that due to a reorganization of the Public Relations and Marketing Department, Plaintiff's position was Public Relations and Marketing Specialist was eliminated, and that his employment with the Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission had been terminated effective December 8, 2017. See Exhibit E.

Count I - Violations of Due Process

- 20. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 11.
- 21. Plaintiff was terminated without being provided adequate port-termination process in violation of the Due Process Clause and 28 U.S.C. §1983.
- 22. The Due Process Clause requires that a state "make available' the means by which [the employee] can receive redress for the deprivations." McDaniels v. Flick, 59 F.3d 446, 459 (3d Cir. 1995) (quoting Schaper v. City of Huntsville, 813 F.2d 709, 715-16 (5th Cir. 1997)).
- 23. Defendants failed to provide any meaningful process that would have enabled Plaintiff to challenge his termination or the defamatory statements made in connection therewith an especially problematic violation in light of the complete absence of pre-termination process.
- 24. Defendants' failure to provide adequate port-termination procedure violated Plaintiff's clearly established constitutional rights and caused severe and lasting damage.
- 25. Had Plaintiff been accorded adequate process, it would have emerged that both the initial decision to terminate Plaintiff and the ultimate one was without any basis.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff seeks the damages set forth in the Prayer for Relief clause of this Complaint, *infra*.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Carl Risoldi, requests that the Court grant him the following relief against Defendant:

- a) Damages for past and future monetary losses as a result of Defendant's wrongful termination;
- b) Compensatory damages;
- c) Punitive damages;
- d) Liquidated damages;
- e) Emotional pain and suffering;
- f) Reasonable attorneys' fees;
- g) Recoverable costs;
- h) Pre and post judgment interest;
- i) An allowance to compensate for negative tax consequences;
- j) Order Defendant to remove and expunge, or to cause to be removed and expunged, all negative, discriminatory, and/or defamatory memoranda and documentation from Plaintiff's record of employment, including, but not limited to, the pre-textual reasons cited for his adverse actions, disciplines, and termination; and
- k) Awarding any/all other extraordinary, equitable and/or injunctive relief as permitted by law, equity and the statutory provisions sued hereunder.

Respectfully Submitted,

KOLLER LAW LLC

Dated: August 30, 2019

By: <u>/s/ David M. Koller</u>
David M. Koller, Esquire
Sarah R. Lavelle, Esquire
Attorneys for Plaintiff